NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

PETER J. SCHMITT, PRESIDING OFFICER

RULES COMMITTEE

PETER J. SCHMITT, CHAIRMAN

1550 Franklin Avenue Mineola, New York

August 6, 2012 1:20 p.m.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

A P P E A R A N C E S:

PETER J. SCHMITT Chair

HOWARD KOPEL

DENNIS DUNNE (Not Present)

RICHARD NICOLELLO (Sitting in for Dennis Dunne)

ROSE MARIE WALKER

KEVAN ABRAHAMS Ranking

JUDI BOSWORTH

WAYNE WINK

LIST OF SPEAKERS

OB WALKER	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6
RANK INTAGLIATA.					•			•	•				•		•			•		•	8
EVIN WALSH															•			•		•	18
ARNELL FOSKEY															•			•		•	
OR MCMANIIS																					73

1	Rules Committee - 8-6-12 4
2	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All members please
3	take their seats. We're having a microphone
4	problem that they're working on. But in the
5	interim, we're going to move forward.
6	I'd ask everybody to please rise for the
7	Pledge of Allegiance, led by Legislator Joe
8	Belesi.
9	(Whereupon, the Pledge of Allegiance was
10	recited.)
11	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Mr. Clerk, would you
12	call the role of the Rules, please?
13	CLERK MULLER: Yes, sir.
14	Legislator Wink?
15	LEGISLATOR WINK: Here.
16	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Jacobs?
17	(No verbal response.)
18	Do you have a substitute? Legislator
19	Bosworth, substituting for Legislator Jacobs?
20	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Here.
21	CLERK MULLER: Ranking Member Abrahams?
22	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.
23	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Walker?
24	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.
25	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Nicolello,

1	Rules Committee - 8-6-12	5
2	substituting for Legislator Dunne?	
3	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here.	
4	CLERK MULLER: Vice Chairman Kopel?	
5	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.	
6	CLERK MULLER: Chairman Schmitt?	
7	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Here.	
8	CLERK MULLER: We have a quorum.	
9	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. Rules	
10	Committee is in session.	
11	I'll take a motion to suspend the rules,	
12	please.	
13	LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.	
14	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.	
15	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Moved by Legislator	
16	Walker, seconded by Legislator Kopel.	
17	All those in favor of suspending the	
18	rules please say aye.	
19	(Aye.)	
20	Any opposed?	
21	(No verbal response.)	
22	The rules are suspended.	
23	I'm going to call E-176, which is a	
24	contract between the Department of Parks and	
25	Nassau Sports Events, LLC.	

what's most important, which is expanding upon

25

the tax base, expanding tax revenue and sales tax revenue, the use and the need for hotel stays -- no air conditioner, no dollars, saves money. But as we continue to look for ways, again, to expand upon the tax base, expand opportunities for our residents and to better utilities our facilities, the RFP was submitted again looking for a private investor that would entail zero dollars, zero tax dollars to be spent on building and indoor sports and exposition facility.

As anyone that may visit the Nassau
Coliseum, obviously the conditions of the
Coliseum, just being the above it is, over 40
years old, the Expo Center or the facility that's
used for an Expo Center really is not conducive
to holding events anymore. And if you look at
the pillars that are in place, you cannot get
bigger shows just because of the lack of
maneuverability and such that Nassau County is
losing several millions of dollars on people that
are traveling to other states or to just across
the county lines to Suffolk or to New York City
to actually host events or bring people here. So
this sports and recreation exposition facility

will actually dovetail the need to see this happen and, more importantly, again, providing opportunities, jobs, and things of that nature.

At this point, I would like Frank

Intagliata to come up, and he will run you

through this short presentation and then will

answer some questions.

MR. INTAGLIATA: Good afternoon. I'm Frank Intagliata, acting director of Purchasing.

This project, as Rob stated, was brought about by need. There were two responders to this program. Can everybody hear me? Okay. We had a five panel board that was established -- Commissioner Shah, Commissioner Foskey, Rich Mallet, and Brian Nugent, Director of Constituent Affairs, and myself. The county executive asked me to spearhead the project, based on a background in sports and entertainment. Also, I was a very active participant and a former All American baseball player. And I've been with the department for 18 years in the capacity -- are we back?

Okay. So this is a 100 percent privately funded program. The company is Nassau Sports,

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 LLC. They will be the operator. They will be granted the use permit. If we turn the slide, 3 4 we'll get an overview of where it actually will 5 be built. It's in that upper right coordinate. 6 And that field was formerly a baseball field that 7 rec and parks earned probably less than \$7,000 annually for use. The field has basically been -8 9 - I hate to say rundown. It's been kept up to 10 the best of their ability. It's a lit field; the lights are antiquated and no longer actually can 11 12 provide us with night revenue there. So the 13 business will be outlayed over that field, with the potential of a dome going over the second 14 15 field, provided their start is according to what 16 their business plan says it will be.

As Rob covered, the projected estimate is going to be \$35 million, over the next five year, generation of income, and that's the economic impact to the area. He will be subject to providing a use permit that works in conjunction with the Department of Rec and Parks. Because this was a parkland, we had to go before the various committees of OSPAC and then Planning and we had to meet the SEQRA resolution, which was

attached for your review. The SEQRA said there was no significant or adverse impact to the environment, which allowed him to go forward.

He has hired -- a little bit about the businessman himself.

He's a local businessman from Garden City. He's a Notre Dame graduate and former All American lacrosse player who is going to invest over \$3.5 million of his own money in our county's park program. The total project cost would be in excess of 10 to \$11 million. So he has a large stake in this. Go to the next slide.

It's a little bit about the center again. We talked a little bit about the economic impact. We talked about the expansion of what our program will do. It might be out of order. Go to the next one, which is the sports facilities advisor. The next slide.

I want to talk to you about sports facility advisory, SFA, who is his business partner in this.

This program is a nationally recognized full service sports facility planning and management company. Through our business and

2 | planning, they have many management services.

But they have served the portfolio of projects

4 | totally more than \$2 billion in planned and

5 | operational facilities. The FSA is going to be

6 called on to assist sports tourism, destination,

7 private developers, parks and recreations, and

work within our parks and recreational

9 department.

Commissioner Foskey put together and elaborate parks facility schedule that marries into the facility and gives us exclusive use of over one-third of the facility, and there's an attached schedule for your review.

If we go to the facility itself, we know it's going to be 105,000 square foot; approximately 60,000 square foot of synthetic turf playing fields. We're going to bring in the aspect of multi-sport, along with a climbing wall. It is going to be a facility that's state of the art. We are going to offer youth groups and corporate groups alike, flexible meeting space. It's going to host birthday parties. And we're going to have a large volume of people attending this.

Job creation. Economic impact, went through that. Job creation.

Although we say unemployment continues to be a staggering stat in many parts of the county.

Nassau Sports will create a multitude of full-time, part-time, and per diem employment opportunities. By hosting a large assortment of classes, programs, and events, the facilities is poised to create nearly 50 full-time jobs, with hundreds of part-time employment opportunities.

Go to enhancement of Mitchel Park Athletic Complex.

already been stated. But we're going to be able to host year-round events. The facility will generate a large number of hotel room nights and increase local retails and commerce. Nassau Sports and Events will generate a large number of full and part-time jobs, as previously stated. And by creating a hub of recreation activities and events, Nassau Sports and Events will increase public use of Mitchel Park and Athletic Complex.

Now, just going back a little bit, when

you looked at the package. It's interesting enough that the original deed in 1968, for the land, when the county went out and secured Mitchel Park suggested that the facility be used for this exact type of a program. They thought that we were in desperate need of that. So it's going to fulfill that obligation. In addition, we are going to receive revenue from the licensing agreement. The revenue will be, in the first five years, a million one-twenty-five, and the initial base fee will be after the first year's completion, it will be \$250,000. It will be some aggregate of over \$8.5 million throughout the 30 year term.

At this point, I will turn it over to you for questions.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: There's just been a request that you repeat those last numbers again.

MR. INTAGLIATA: The licensing revenue?

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Yes.

MR. INTAGLIATA: It will be 1.125 after the first five years. We're going to give them an opportunity to built the project. And then at the commencement of one year, collect \$250,000,

Rules Committee - 8-6-12 and thereon over the next four years.

It is estimated, through the upgrade in the contract, that they will pay over \$8 million after the 30 year term is complete. I shouldn't say estimated, it's in the contract. But I don't want to be quoted to an exact number. I know it's eight million and change.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: That's it?

MR. INTAGLIATA: That's all I have on this presentation.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Is there anybody else going to speak on this before we go into questions?

MR. INTAGLIATA: If we have some legal questions, I'll call upon Kevin Walsh. If you have parks, recreation or schedule questions, I believe Carnell is in the building here and he can come forward. Commissioner Foskey, correct.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I have a series of questions but I'm not quite sure where to start.

This is -- first of all, it looks like a wonderful presentation and something that's sorely needed by the county and it generates revenue and has no cost to the county, which is

MR. INTAGLIATA: The criteria for the

25

2 | RFP -- I understand what you're saying, Mr.

3 | Schmitt. We'll have to look into that further.

But it is a private businessman who went forward

5 in an LLC and is going to pledge the money to do

6 this program and hire the necessary people behind

7 him.

1

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: But who is he? Who is the company? Is he here?

MR. INTAGLIATA: I don't know if Mickey is in the building. He could go and explain a little bit further about who backed him. But I believe it's his money. That's all I know.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: What's his name?

MR. INTAGLIATA: Mickey Blum.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Mickey Blum. Michael Blum. See, now I don't know if he is the sole -- if he is Sports Facilities Advisory or if he's got a board of directors, he's got partners, whatever he's got.

MR. INTAGLIATA: The Sports Facility
Advisory is definitely his advisory company that
he is going to hire to run the facility and do
the startup of scheduling and advise him about
the business that he's partaking in.

that we all have here, that it has to go first

25

before your board to give him the wherewithal to go forward and get his end financing. I'm not certain if he's going to finance the entire project himself or does have a lender. That's where we are to date on this issue.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Is there anything in this contract that prohibits him from flipping or selling?

MR. INTAGLIATA: Yes. Every assignment that he wants to go through all comes through us. He does not -- he is not allowed to assign --

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Who is us?

MR. INTAGLIATA: Maybe Kevin Walsh can add a little bit better information.

MR. WALSH: Yes. Good afternoon. Kevin Walsh, Deputy County Attorney.

There is a provision in the contract that does contemplate financing. We don't know who the exact lender is. His attorney has been giving us documents the lender is looking at.

It's a little unique because since his structure is a license agreement, the way we can do it legally, there's not many models. Usually, a lender's looking for security, like a mortgage,

Rules Committee - 8-6-12 obviously. In this case, all they're going to get is collateral security. He would get the rights of the permittee. But still, even if a lender, in the worst case scenario, has to step in his shoes, everything is still subject to the terms of this permit agreement -- that was the negotiation, but we really wouldn't give on that. And at the end of the day, any assignment still has to be authorized by the county.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Authorized by the who?

MR. WALSH: It's actually -- there's an assignment clause. Whatever the approval process is in the county, it has to go through the same approval process.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Does it come back to the legislature or not?

MR. WALSH: Basically, for a new company -- I guess it depends on the criteria of how it happens. I think usually it's the consent of the county executive for a new, you know, typical assignment clause in our permit agreement is like a major concession agreement. It still would have to come back to the county exec's office.

Sufficient. To be quite honest with you, I think that has to be approved by the county executive and then sent to the legislature, which approved the contract in the initial instance. I think that has to be changed, amended, whatever. If there is that kind of situation where this contract vendee, for lack of a better description, is going to change and it's approved by the county executive, it has to come back to this committee to be approved by the legislature.

I am also concerned about the rest of this financing.

Look. I understand what the project is.

I understand what a good thing this is, and I

want to vote for it. I understand all of the

implications of what it means to the county and

what it means to the development of the HUB. But

I do not understand, with all due respect, I do

not know this Michael Blum. And even if I knew

him, and this guy says I'm going to give \$3.5

million of my own money and I'm going to get the

rest of the money and build this thing, sounds

great. From where? Do we even know if he has

\$3.5 million? Has anybody done a Dunne & Bradstreet on him? Do we have any of that information?

Look. I know this is not the case. At least I will assume that it is not the case. But whenever I get something like this, as I told the administration last week when we spoke about it, Mitchel Field leases are paramount of my mind. And I want to know what the safeguards are for the county. I don't want to find out that we got a half built structure out there and who approved that, and it's us.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER:

Presiding Officer, just in respect to the

financials. We do have -- Mr. Blum is on his way
here today.

One problem that always -- that these projects do face -- and I agree with you wholeheartedly and that's why this process has taken a rather long and cumbersome time in dealing with the attorneys on both sides, meaning the investor and ours, and conversations had taken place with many of the banks. The banks that we've had conversations through the

2 attorneys have given the approval, and their

3 approvals were always based upon our approval.

So we asked Mr. Blum, upon hearing this, to come

5 here. He's on his way here with some of those

6 | financial commitments so you could actually see -

7 | - as well, which I think is prudent, and that is

8 coming as we speak.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So the bank's commitment to finance the balance of the \$11 million is based upon the county's prior agreement to the lease?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Yes. I guess there are many of these types of facilities that are taking place and a lot of interest amongst many places here in both Nassau and Suffolk, Long Island, and the City. So the banks do not want to lend their approval to a project, which then it becomes sometimes -- they're in a game as well of being able to utilize their money the right way. So some of them do not like to get out there first and say, yes, we are committed to this project fully knowing that possibly the project may not get approved, and now their money is wrapped up and tied up and now

1

3

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 | other firms do not come and seek their dollars.

They, in fact, then will look elsewhere to other

4 | financial institutions. So there is some, you

5 | know, concern of the market and of the financial

6 | lending institutions. And we've only learned

7 | this during this process, that they do not like

8 | to be the first ones to speak and get out there

9 | ahead of the game to only possibly have their

10 | project fall apart, and now some other investor

11 | has gone to another financial institution,

12 | received their dollars, they're in the ground,

13 | they're up and running, and now they're out of an

14 opportunity.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I understand that.

But there has to be a way to give the assurances,
I think, speaking for myself. There has to be a
way to give the assurances to the members of this
committee that it's real. I don't know. I'm
just going to make it up. CitiBank is the bank.

If whoever this guy is dealing with that's going
to give him \$8 million based upon the county
agreeing to this lease, ought to maybe come here
and we go in the back room and he gives us the
assurances that when we approve this lease

Rules Committee - 8-6-12

they're approving the \$8 million, something,

something. Being asked to vote for this without

any guarantees, I guess, that it's going to go

forward is really not -- we're kind of hanging

out there.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: The other guarantees that are in place -- because irregardless of the approval today and even the bank's approval. Prior to the construction actually going forth, there are many safeguards that are built into this contract for the very reason as you just described. There are many safeguards that are built into this. First, the surety that needs to be taken. Basically, it's a performance bond.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: In what amount?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: The amount of the project. The total amount of construction. So, if all of a sudden we believe it's 11 million, if it comes in at 14 million, the surety has to guarantee the construction of \$14 million. So the surety that's put into place which, again, for all basic terms, the performance bond --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

questions over here? Legislator Nicolello.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: In terms of the parking, the parking issue, have there been any studies --

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Yes.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: as to how much additional parking is required, and are there plans to add parking?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Wе required the applicant to undergo actually a whole SEQRA process, which was on his or the company's dime. They spent that. That was completed. That was part of the approval process through OSPAC and through the Planning Commission. There is going to be additional parking added. As anyone up -- every one of the legislators know the problem that we do have with Mitchel, the lack of parking. There will actually be a new parking lot and a dedicated parking facility built for the use of this facility.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: And there was some sort of coordination between the operation of Mitchel Field and the operation of this

Rules Committee - 8-6-12 1 actually looked -- part of Nassau Sports and 2 Events LLC is they do have a, for lack of better 3 4 words -- SFA, Sports Facility Advisory, they 5 actually have built, they have over \$2 billion 6 worth of assets of building these indoor 7 facilities; every one of them have flourished. Actually, the closest one is in Brooklyn. 8 9 also have ones in Jersey. And we've had 10 extensive dialogue with them. Their revenue numbers, their economic analysis is pretty much 11 12 right on target based upon the uses of those 13 facilities. And the demand that we receive just from people in general that are calling up 14 15 looking for facilities, even with our turf 16 fields, just to be able to go on them in the 17 winter. If you don't have snow, they just want to play. The demand is enormous. 18 19 LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I was curious as

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I was curious as to how you arrived at the numbers the county is going to receive.

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Based upon how it's worked other places.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: That makes sense.

This is not clear to me. After five

Rules Committee - 8-6-12

years, how much is the county getting on an annual basis?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. INTAGLIATA: It starts after a year of operation, 250,000 with the CPI increase. think a three percent increase every year in the license fee. In addition, there is a gross receipts schedule that we are requiring, gross receipts of the revenue that they bring in, that must be put back into the facility. It's got to be put into a dedicated fund. It's sort of a reserve fund. I've had an experience with some other projects in the county, different kind of projects, where they don't put into a reserve and all of a sudden they're struggling looking for financing because they haven't put the few dollars away. I think the plan is to put it away, but this kind of gives us a little more of a hammer to make sure that it happens.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So the first year is 125,000, then for the next four years it's 250, and then after that it's 250 plus CPI?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Yeah.

It varies on the years.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Okay.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

Is that spelled out in the contract?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Yes.

It's spelled out in the contract. Gross

receipts, percentage fees will be 2.5 for year

one through ten, and then escalating years 11

through 20 will be 3.5, four percent from 21 to

30, 4.0 in 31 and 40 if it's renewed for the

additional.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: What are those percentages? Is that the money coming back to the county?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: It's \$250,000 --

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Right.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: The fees are \$250,000, will be due on the one year anniversary of the term of the commencement date. For each subsequent operating year, the base will be increased by three percent, three percent each year. And then, again, based upon the gross receipts would be roughly -- the first 2.5 percent in the first one through 10 years, 3.5 percent for years 11 through 20, and then four percent 21 through 30. Then, again, if the

extension is given, it's the four percent.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: And those gross receipts come back to the county or they get reinvested into the facility?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER:

Reinvested back into the facility. For us, we've all learned the problems that we face with no reinvestment and then all of a sudden have a facility that will be county owned where no repairs are actually coming into it and you're going to be left with something crumbling did not make any sense for us. This requires that money to then be put back into the facility to make sure when the county does take ownership of this, theoretically it owns it from day one, the county can decide if we want to have an RFP for an operator and/or not. We actually then have some dollars that are put back in.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Is part of the function going to be something of a convention hall?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Yes.

One of the other opportunities -- and we've been meeting with the Marines Trade Association. As I

1	Rules	Committee	_	8-6-12
_	1101200	001111112		

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

said before, the Nassau Coliseum does not lend itself to really hosting any type of expositions. It's not in great shape. The way it's constructed with the pillars and things of that nature, there's not a lot of maneuverability. You can't get certain shows because they literally can't bring in the equipment and things of that nature. This is going to be a wide open, basically 100,000, 102,000 square foot facility that literally -- and that's why the advent of the parking, as you mentioned, as well, the additional parking, so that vehicles can actually taken place and come right. If they wanted to have a dance or they wanted to have a convention space where they can set up chairs, you can actually roll out that type of flooring and you could have a convention and things of that nature.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: This was asked before. With respect to the Coliseum property and that development, there are no exclusive rights for these convention halls for this --

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER:

Correct. No exclusive rights.

1	Rules Committee - 8-6-12
2	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: There won't be a
3	conflict coming, potentially?
4	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER:
5	Correct.
6	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Alrighty. Thank
7	you.
8	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Anybody else? Who
9	is going to be responsible for repairs once this
10	is done?
11	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: The
12	repairs is of the operator.
13	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Of the operator.
14	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER:
15	Correct.
16	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Legislator Kopel.
17	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Thank you, Presiding
18	Officer.
19	I'm afraid I'm going to be going over
20	some of the ground here because I'm just a little
21	bit confused, so forgive me.
22	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: There's a million one
23	twenty-five over the first five years; I get
24	that. After that, you've got 250,000 a year that
25	continues throughout the 30 years, plus you've

as well?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: 2.5 for your one through 10; 3.5 for 11 through 20; and then 4 percent for 21 through 30.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Now, that reserve fund, how is that used? Who decides how that is used and if it's used?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: It's going to be repairs that are going to into the facility.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I would imagine that just typical maintenance and repairs and this was alluded to by some of the others, is going to cost more than 2 1/2 to 3 percent a year. So what does this do? In other words, is this over and above regular maintenance and is that defined?

MR. WALSH: Yes. This is really a reserve fund, I guess, for down-the-line capital improvements. And, you know, the percentages are kind of comparable with some of the models I looked at, similar to the City. They did a similar project with the Douglaston Golf Course. But, in addition, knowing he's financing, I'm very confident that a lender also is going to be

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 | looking for significant reserve funds for

3 maintenance, especially when in this agreement

4 | all the repair maintenance obligations are on the

5 operator. We have a lot of reporting

6 requirements quarterly as well.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So what we're doing is we're defining this reserve fund as for capital expenditures only and it's over and above normal maintenance.

MR. WALSH: I don't think it's that specific, but that was the intention.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: You kind of need to be that specific, don't you think?

MR. WALSH: Let me find the language.

To maintain a separate account to contain the gross receipt percentage fees derived from the operation. They should be solely reinvested back into the premises and the operations thereon, including, without limitation, funding public programs conducted at the premises, provided that any such expenditure required the prior written approval of the county, which shall not be unreasonably withheld.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So I'm concerned that

that fund was really going to be just absorbed into their normal maintenance that they would have done anyway, and won't really be there for major capital improvements because that wording is overbroad. You may want to look at that.

Do you have a deadline for commencement and completion of construction? That kind of goes along with the presiding officer's questions about financing. Must they start at a certain time, finish by a certain time, and if those deadlines -- assuming that you do indeed have those deadlines -- what are the consequences of not meeting them?

MR. WALSH: It contemplates at an outside; we have a preliminary term for substantial of 18 months. However, we fully have authority, our DPW commissioner, over all the construction. We have the unilateral right to revoke it; it's a permit, not a lease. So, you know, the reason kind of this thing ended up so quickly, is it's taken us a number of months to get through this agreement. Now, with the cold weather coming, I think the developer was anxious to make this calendar not have to wait until

Rules Committee - 8-6-12

September for the normal rules calendar. The motivation has certainly been there. We've been getting a lot of pressure; at least I have as counsel.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: If I understand you correctly, there is a deadline for construction and completion, and if these things are not proceeding along you can simply revoke the permit, take over the property even if it's half way completed?

MR. WALSH: There's always -- we can revoke. There are several provisions that would address revocation. Revocation for cause, we could revoke. We do have an opportunity to cure within 20 days --

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: But the question was

-- the question was is there a deadline for them

to get this thing built? In other words, if they

started and take 12 years, no one is going to be

real happy about that; is that cause?

MR. WALSH: That would be cause, I would say.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: It's defined as cause?

MR. WALSH: There is no firm start date, it's obtaining approvals necessary to construct.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: In other words, what if they don't do anything in two or three years and we have other opportunities and they're just not getting it done? That kind of thing happens.

MR. WALSH: We have a lot of provisions in our capital improvements about delay, where there's actually liquidated damages per day penalty.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: So there is a deadline?

MR. WALSH: Yeah. 18.4, they have to proceed in good faith and due diligence to complete the capital improvements, with a schedule attached. There is reasonable day. If there is a force de jour -- you know, act of God, strike, that kind of thing. However, then they would be required -- if they fail to complete by the date specified in Exhibit C, they are required to pay a liquidated damages of \$200 per day.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: How much?

1	Rules Committee - 8-6-12 40
2	MR. WALSH: Two hundred dollars per day.
3	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: In other words,
4	nothing.
5	MR. WALSH: It adds up.
6	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Not really. Thank
7	you.
8	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Legislator Walker.
9	LEGISLATOR WALKER: I just wanted to
10	reiterate what Legislator Nicolello said as far
11	as the need and desire of a facility like this.
12	Obviously, we have some questions that do need to
13	be finalized and answered for us.
14	We have a very small athletic facility in
15	Hicksville where our PAL is housed. I could tell
16	you if that was a 24-hour use facility, it could
17	be used 24 hours. It is used constantly. The
18	desire to be there is just amazing. I know a
19	facility like this is going to be very beneficial
20	to all of our residents. I know it's going to
21	get the use. If we can get those other questions
22	answered for sure, hopefully if the powers that
23	be are there with us, we'll get it on our way.
24	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Legislator Abrahams.
25	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Thank you,

Rules Committee - 8-6-12

Presiding Officer Schmitt.

First, I would like to say that I think some of the questions that have been brought about by the presiding officer as well as Mr.

Nicolello, Mr. Kopel and I believe Ms. Walker, we share in the same concerns so I'm not going to regurgitate the same concerns over and over again, just where they apply to what we're thinking.

I do want to start my line of questioning

-- I think I want to piggyback on what Mr. Kopel

was citing in regard to a deadline and timeframe

of when this would actually be done.

This is actually a facility that neighbors my district. Obviously, if there is something that is going to be erected, I think the community deserves a timely timeframe of when it will be done so it doesn't become an eyesore as Mr. Bloom or Mr. Blum seeks further financing, which to date we don't know where he's getting it from.

What is the protections that the county has in the contract, if any at all, and will Mr. Bloom be open -- if he's not here already --

would he be open to amendments to this contract at this time?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Just with respect to Mr. Bloom, who is here? I know there are other matters that needs to come before the legislature today. He would be open to discussing who those financers are but would like to do that in executive session for the reasons I said before, which we could do after the fact or before. He is getting also additional clarification from the bank and putting some of those things in writing. Again, that would also be privileged and confidential. But he could discuss all of his investors with you in executive session later, again, for the reasons I said before.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And the timeframe for completion of construction is 18 months, I think I heard that.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: It's 18 months. We put in the 18 months only because you never know how the weather is going to be. Some of this requires -- depending on when you get in the ground. If you get in the ground -- the

2 contract is executed, depending on this winter,

3 you could start right away. Just look down the

4 | block on Old Country Road and what took place in

5 Carle Place. That construction was very quick

6 and rapid because you had no weather conditions.

7 Usually, standard time for construction,

8 the county has always put in 18 months. You

9 | could take One West for perfect example. We felt

10 | 18 months was taking into account all weather.

11 | You could lose six months if it's snowing,

12 between December -- and because of the

13 | foundation, you may not get back into the ground

14 | until April or May. That's why we believe 18

15 | months was a prudent time. Just to throw out a

16 | number to get it done quicker where we know we

17 | might not make it doesn't make any sense.

18 | Eighteen months we believe is the best window of

19 construction, the time period for this type of

20 | construction, and this was predicated on DPW's

21 | thoughts.

22 LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And you plan to

23 | begin the construction upon approval of this

24 | contract? When would construction commence?

25 DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER:

Approval of the contract, the money would be put in place, the proper assurance, insurance, and the bonding, the requirements that we put in place are the exact requirements that we have in all our DPW contracts for construction, so they'd have to meet all those requirements, which again is basically a performance bond, the dollars and cents that they would have to have. They would have to have full commitment from the bank, not a commitment for \$2 million and let's see how it progresses. No. They would need full commitment, all the assurances, all the performance measures, the bonding, things of that nature they would have to have.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: That process, performance bonds, how long will that take?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: It's really up to each individual. We have some contractors that take six months. We have some contractors that take two minutes. Literally, the day the bond is awarded, they are ready and they have it. So it's really up to the individual not up to us. They have to go forward and seek all those requirements.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Is it safe to say based on our history with DPW projects a standard of 30 days it's possible to get that stuff done?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Yes.

As I said, I would believe it could even be done

-- 30 days is very fair. But it could be done

literally overnight and, again, some cases -- 30

days is an adequate number to put a guestimate

on.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Is that something that we could put into the contract?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: We could. I wouldn't just because, again, depending on a process, it could sometimes take a little longer. For all different reasons it could take longer. We have some of our best contractors that sometimes, again, because of other areas, it just takes longer. Depending on who their insurance company is -- I would not want to put an amount on there only because then you are, you literally are forcing something that possibly may be out of the realm of anybody other than the person they're actually seeking it from.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I see. I see.

I do have questions for Mr. Foskey -- Mr. Foskey put together the schedule that's before us today in the packet. Before I get into that -- because I know Mr. Walsh is here. I'm sorry.

Rob, the gentleman behind you, is Frank?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER:

Intagliata.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Contagliata.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER:

Intagliata.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Intagliata.
Sorry.

I do have some questions for Mr. Walsh first, and I guess Frank could probably answer them as well, they tie more into this property. I think Presiding Officer Schmitt talked about it a little bit in greater detail in regards to our due diligence in making sure that we receive the right permissions from the U.S. Government -- example, such as the Interior Department, Government Services, administration, to allow the purpose that we're talking about today and being proposed today, whether or not it would be something that we can actually do. I'm looking

at my notes because I want to make sure I state what's in the staff summary correctly.

It's quote and bolded in the staff
summary: The operator shall comply with and be
fully bound by the terms, conditions, covenants,
and restrictions contained in the quit claim
deed, the "deed" made by the United States of
America, the administrators of general services,
to the County of Nassau dated April 24, 1968,
recorded in the Nassau County Clerk's Office of
the Libber 7817, page 291.

Do you believe, Mr. Walsh, that there is enough to simply state that in the contract and are you familiar with the deed going back to April 24, 1968?

MR. WALSH: Yes. You know, the deed has basically a restriction that the property must be used for public and recreational purposes. So, you know, it's incorporated in the contract. They're bound by the terms of it. You know, when we look back at some of the history in the file of the property -- and obviously the property is much broader than just what we're building on, I guess there might have been concerns probably in

for an indoor public facility.

68 that it would be used for some sort of a commercial development, office buildings or something that the Department of Interior, you know, wanted to see this continue as parkland for recreational enjoyment and use of the residents.

It's even noted in the application that was made in 68 that there was a sore need in the county

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And has there been any correspondence or contacts that you have -- were there any meetings being held between the Department Interior? Have we met with them, reached out with them to the ideas that we're posing today?

MR. WALSH: I'm not aware that it's happened yet, to my knowledge.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Do we plan to?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: We have reached out to different Department of Interior officials over the last, probably say, year with respect to various ideas and concepts, one being a minor league ballpark, one being the indoor facility. Again, when it comes down to the approval, the approval of an actual from the

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

County Legislature, we would actually then go

back to the Department of Interior again just to

make sure everything is done appropriately.

They do not like speaking in hypotheticals. I'll go back to 2007 or 08 when the parking lot was built --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: 2004.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: That's when it was, 2004, and they had some concerns over that, what actually happened. supposed to be used for parkland and it was not. They actually enjoy the additional use that actually now is taking place. The fact that there were some concerns over the minor league ballpark. The indoor facility was already mentioned in the deed that was created. It was an opportunity that the county would see back when that deed was actually constructed. To now have that additional parking space that we're actually say it would be great, it's there, this will be used for park use, I think they were appreciative of that.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I was about to bring up the parking lot from 2004 because I

name mixed up.

Rules Committee - 8-6-12

you're doing and now it's approved, and you're

3 ready to move forward.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: I understand that.

If they have concerns, I think it would be prudent to know their concerns so we can make amendments to the contract.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: They had concerns about the parking lot. They were upset the way the parking lot -- I'm saying what they were concerned about is they were concerned they did not like the fact that the parking -- they felt that they got misrepresented by certain people. I don't know who had those discussions with them. That the parking lot was being built for park use, whenever it was. Again, the parking lot is not being used a lot for park type activities; it's being used for the Department of Social Services, for both employees and visitors of the employees. The park is not seeing additional people. We actually have less, and less, and less people that are going to Mitchel Park right now. This expands the use, such that they said that's perfect. You're upset the way the parking lot is not being used, now

1

4

2 it's going to be used because we're going to

3 bring in additional resources into the facility.

The concerns they really had was with the minor

5 | league ballpark because they believed the minor

6 | league ballpark was more of a professional

7 | nature, the fact that you were going to have

8 | professional athletes playing and not being used

9 for recreation uses, such as this is being used

10 | for recreational use. They want to see

11 | recreational use that keeps in mind and really

12 | answers the thoughts of the deeds and the

13 | restrictive covenants that were put in place.

14 | LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Not to belabor.

15 | Are there letters of correspondence which talks

16 | to the back and forth communication between you

17 | and the Feds?

18 DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: No.

19 | This is dialogue and conversations with had with

20 | them. Once we have an executed game plan as to

21 | exactly what we're doing, then it's forwarded to

22 | the Department of Interior and they give their

23 approvals.

24

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: So --

25 DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: In this

2 | case --

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Go ahead.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: In this case, we are very confident in the approval process because it's already mentioned in the actual deed that the county received. This is one of the intended uses that the county had.

The county could have did this, whenever they so choose, back in the 60's, 70's; they didn't and now we're moving forward with it now.

to talk about the schedule. I just had some quick questions in regards to some of the income that's generated by the facility. And I noticed before -- I think you had mentioned, Mr. Walsh, that the money generated from the facility goes into a reserve fund and because of the U.S. Department of Interior letter, which is dated 9/3/1994, which I can provide to you, it's our understanding that this income couldn't go into our general fund, that it needs to go into a designated reserve fund, which you highlighted earlier. Is that correct?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: It goes

general fund either, it goes into the grant fund.

25

you are. Just tell them who you are.

25

COMIMSSIONER FOSKEY: Carnell Foskey, the Commissioner of the Nassau County Department of Parks, Recreation and Museums.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Go ahead. Sorry to interrupt you.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Basically, if I'm looking, I'm just taking a look at September 4, 2012 where it has TF-UYS 6:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., that would be an allocation to the Department of Recs where you can determine whether or not a community group can come in and use a facility?

COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: Correct. Yes.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. And are you

-- you believe the times allocated for Nassau

County's purposes not for -- is it Nassau LLC or

Sports Facility Advisory -- you feel the time is

allocated for the actual park and recs is

sufficient?

COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: Yes. What we try to do is amend the current schedule that we find people use our field, facilities now. These times are the times that works best for us.

LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Okay. If you're okay with it. Thank you, Mr. Foskey,

Rules Committee - 8-6-12

Commissioner Foskey. I don't have any more questions.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Legislator Bosworth.

LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Thank you,

Presiding Officer Schmitt.

Well, I have two things that I'd like to speak about. One is -- and I know I'm not usually on the Rules Committee but I am on it today, and I find it somewhat disconcerting to be addressing a project of this magnitude that I know was filed on July 23 but put on as an addendum just this past Friday. It's very important. It just seemed to me that a number of very important questions have been raised. I don't think we're having the opportunity to get the answers to those questions.

I would also say that as a legislator I would like to have some input and a vote on how this proceeds. And correct me if I'm wrong, Presiding Officer Schmitt, but if this is voted on today then that's it, it just goes ahead, correct?

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: That is correct,

Legislator Bosworth. This is a -- it's a Rules

Rules Committee - 8-6-12 Committee only vote.

LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Right. It just seems to me that this is an important project that certain deserves the public to understand that it's happening so that they can make public comment. I believe that all legislators should be looking at this. This is my opinion and this is what I'm saying.

The question that I have is -- so if we enter into this contract and the Department of the Interior disapproves do we have a liability because of that?

MR. WALSH: No. The contract permit is explicit that it's subject to any requisite approvals. The county has no obligation to put any money in and it's solely was the risk of the operator.

LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: So there's an actual clause in the contract that holds us safe from this, if the Department of the Interior says this is not an appropriate use, we have no liability?

MR. WALSH: Right. The permit is explicit that it is subject to all requisite

MR. WALSH: Yes. And if we unilaterally without cause revoke, there is a provision in there that allows him then to recoup, if we did

24

25

Rules Committee - 8-6-12 it without cause.

LEGISLATOR WINK: And what would -- what would sufficient cause be? I'm sorry. I understand sufficient cause to cancel on their end. So if we do it without cause then we basically have to buy the facility, is that it?

MR. WALSH: Basically. To the extent its been -- whatever -- to the extent they've constructed, say it's in the approval process, it has to be basically determined and actually has to be approved at that point by the comptroller. That's explicit in the contract.

LEGISLATOR WINK: Okay. Now, look, I don't claim to be any kind of expert on alienation issues. But as I understand it, what constituted alienation has evolved dramatically in the last 20, 25 years.

I've been involved with a PILOT project for a park-and-ride program up in Christopher Morley Park that I told -- I was told might be an alienation issue. This sounds like a lot more permanent situation than something like that. I guess my question is what happens if a court rules this is alienation issue, where are we

Rules Committee - 8-6-12 then?

MR. WALSH: That's, you know, I guess at that point we'd have to revisit and talk about, you know, the then -- can we restructure it as a lease, we'd have to come back for all the approvals of the legislature, we'd have to get new authority from the Department of Interior. Hopefully at that point, you know, viable recreation use.

And the other thing with alienation, keep it in mind, most of the alienation cases tend to be you're kind of getting away from a park use.

I've worked with the preserve, like Leeds Pond Preserve, and you're looking to do something totally different, a different use, some other public use or private use. Here we're still talking about essentially a park use. Even what the private operator's conducting programs, they're public recreational programs -- it's basketball, volleyball, lacrosse.

LEGISLATOR WINK: Okay. But they're not completely open to the public. You need to go through the private operator in order to access this land.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: First, if we go to sell the land it's definitely a park alienation.

we chose to sell this land, would we be getting

the same type of return from this otherwise?

LEGISLATOR WINK: I agree.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: What we

looked at here was, first of all, you have no indoor facilities basically in the county. We currently receive about 20 to \$30,000 a year in revenue from the ball field. You can't use both ball fields while you're using the track because you have balls that are flying all over and the last thing you want to have is have somebody running the 100 meter and then they wind up on the floor because they get hit by a baseball in the head. We look at avenues by which we can improve.

I think Mr. Walsh summed it up. And they looked at the alienation issue because obviously it was a concern of ours as well. They believed that we're on solid footing for the answers that he gave. But the revenue, we went from having about \$30,000 to having about \$250,000 a year and now you could actually participate and use the track while using the indoor facility, use the indoor facility to drum up additional activity in the hub area. You start to hopefully create some excitement that we can continue and see the Coliseum redeveloped, that it was a starting point for that development. Obviously all the

concerns you have are the same concerns we have, that's why those safeguards were put into place in the contract. For the dollars and cents, we believe it's much more money going back into the facility, from having 30,000 a year to having 250,000 a year just for one field, and it all goes back into improvements to the facilities is a win-win for everybody. That's the direction we're heading.

LEGISLATOR WINK: It was mentioned that as many as 50 permanent jobs would be created by this. First thing, construction jobs, is there a requirement in this contract they be union jobs?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: He is going to use union but prevailing wage -- all the state labor law requirements that are in put in place, has to be prevailing wage, things of that nature.

LEGISLATOR WINK: Okay.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Have to live within the living wage laws of the county and everything of --

LEGISLATOR WINK: Right. And all of that would be irrespective of whether it's in the

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

LEGISLATOR WINK: Okay. Thank you.

25

1	Rules Committee - 8-6-12 67
2	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Mr. Walker, now you
3	want us to recess, awaiting the arrival of the
4	financials?
5	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: That is
6	fine.
7	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. We're going to
8	recess. This is the Rules Committee. We are
9	recessing so that we can start, in five minutes,
10	the full session of the legislature.
11	(Whereupon, the Rules Committee recessed
12	at 2:26 p.m.)
13	(Whereupon, the Rules Committee
14	reconvened at 7:02 p.m.)
15	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: We're reconvening the
16	Rules Committee meeting.
17	You have received the financial
18	information and the disclosures of the
19	corporation?
20	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Yes, we
21	have them.
22	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And the financial
23	information is to be discussed in executive
24	session?
25	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: If you

1	Rules Committee - 8-6-12 68
2	would be so kind.
3	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. We're going to
4	go into executive session.
5	I need a motion to go into executive
6	session.
7	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: So moved.
8	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Moved by Legislator
10	Nicolello, seconded by Legislator Walker.
11	All those in favor?
12	(Aye.)
13	We're going into executive session.
14	(Whereupon, the Rules Committee recessed
15	at 7:05 p.m.)
16	(Whereupon, the Rules Committee
17	reconvened at 7:55 p.m.)
18	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Chief Deputy County
19	Executive Walker, we had discussions about the
20	project labor agreement for this project. Could
21	you tell us?
22	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Yes.
23	There will be a project labor agreement that the
24	respondent will enter into with the Building
25	Trades Council, and that meeting will be set up

Rules Committee - 8-6-12
with him and the Buil

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

with him and the Building Trades Council, which will also involve DPW. It will follow through in the same process that we follow through on all of our DPW contracts.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. Anything else? LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: At this time, Presiding Officer Schmitt, I know we went into executive session, we feel there are some questions and concerns that we still need answered. We like the concept of the proposal. We wanted to thank Mr. Bloom for coming down today because obviously the proposal does -- I'm sorry - Blum -- sorry -- does have some good concepts and ideas, but we think it wouldn't be prudent to vote for it at this time with some of the questions that are lingering, based off what we learned in executive session, which we do not want to share because we learned it in confidence

We would respectfully request this item be tabled, and I would like to submit a motion to table at this present time.

LEGISLATOR WINK: Second.

during executive session.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: We have a motion to

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

1	Rules Committee - 8-6-12 70
2	table, which is undebatable. It's been made and
3	seconded.
4	All those in favor of tabling please say
5	aye.
6	(Aye.)
7	All those opposed?
8	(Nay.)
9	The motion to table fails.
10	I'm going to call the question.
11	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: That being said,
12	Mr. Schmitt, do you mind if I ask some questions
13	to Mr. Walker?
14	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: No. Motion to table
15	ends debate.
16	Go ahead.
17	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. Walker, you
18	had mentioned that there will be a PLA that will
19	be a part of this agreement. When do you
20	envision having that PLA to be signed?
21	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: I don't
22	know. I wouldn't want to give you a date or
23	speculate a date.
24	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Is it possible
25	that a PLA will not be a part of the agreement?

1	Rules Committee - 8-6-12 71
2	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: No. It
3	would be part of the agreement.
4	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Would be a part of
5	the agreement.
6	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: I just
7	won't speculate on dates.
8	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: And based on your
9	conversations with Mr. Blum, a PLA is acceptable
10	and amenable to that entity?
11	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Yes.
12	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: As I said before,
13	Mr. Walker, we think the idea, the concept has
14	some merit. Providing that the documentation
15	that we wanted to learn from the executive
16	session was there I'm not saying that it
17	wasn't forthcoming, it's not available at this
18	time. That being said, without divulging what we
19	learned in executive session, I don't think we
20	can have unanimous support in this committee
21	because we like the idea of trying to develop the
22	concept of what they're trying to do at Mitchel
23	Field without the county putting out any money.
24	That's the greatest thing about it.
25	So, from that standpoint, again, we would

anything you'd like.

1	Rules Committee - 8-6-12 73
2	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you so much.
3	I'm caught unaware and surprised by the actions
4	of the minority. Anyway.
5	E-176, all those in favor please say aye.
6	(Aye.)
7	Any opposed?
8	(No verbal response.)
9	Let the record show that the item carries
10	by a vote of four to three.
11	Mr. Blum, congratulations.
12	I'm going to call E-174, which is a
13	resolution authorizing the county executive to
14	execute a personal services agreement between the
15	county on behalf of the DA's office and PACE
16	After-School Program.
17	May I have a motion, please?
18	LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.
19	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Moved by Legislator
21	Walker, seconded by Legislator Kopel.
22	This is a renewal of a program. There is
23	somebody to speak about it. I'm sorry you had to
24	wait this long.
25	MR. MCMANUS: Thank you. Bob McManus,

1	Rules Committee - 8-6-12 75
2	LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.
3	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Second.
4	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Moved by Legislator
5	Walker, seconded by Legislator Nicolello.
6	All those in favor of adjourning please
7	say aye.
8	(Aye.)
9	We're standing adjourned.
10	(Whereupon, the Rules Committee adjourned
11	at 8:01 p.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

I, FRANK GRAY, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby state:

THAT I attended at the time and place above mentioned and took stenographic record of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter;

THAT the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate transcript of the same and the whole thereof, according to the best of my ability and belief.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 23rd day of August, 2012.

FRANK GRAY